

APPENDIX  
PRACTICAL CONSEQUENCES IN STATUTORY  
INTERPRETATION\*

\* \* \*

CASE NAME	CITATION	FORM OF COMPARISON / LEVEL OF RELIANCE	OPINION	DEFENSIVE?	PLAIN MEANING?
Abbott v. Perez	138 S. Ct. 2305 (2018)	Both policy and administrability / Undesirable results + Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	Yes	No
Abbott v. Perez*	138 S. Ct. 2305 (2018)	Policy / Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	No
Adoptive Couple v. Baby Girl	570 U.S. 637 (2013)	Policy / Undesirable results / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Adoptive Couple v. Baby Girl*	570 U.S. 637 (2013)	Policy / Undesirable results / Primary reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	Yes
Advoc. Health Care Network v. Stapleton*	581 U.S. 468 (2017)	Policy / Facts about the world / Some reliance	Concurrence (Sotomayor)	No	Yes
Ala. Ass'n of Realtors v. Dep't of Health & Hum. Servs.	141 S. Ct. 2485 (2021)	Policy / Facts about the world / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Ala. Ass'n of Realtors v. Dep't of Health & Hum. Servs.	141 S. Ct. 2485 (2021)	Policy / Undesirable results + Purpose / Primary reliance	Per curiam	No	No
Ala. Dep't of Revenue v. CSX Transp., Inc.	575 U.S. 21 (2015)	Policy / Undesirable results + Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	No	No
Allen v. Siebert	552 U.S. 3 (2007)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Per curiam	No	No
Altria Grp., Inc. v. Good	555 U.S. 70 (2008)	Administrability / Unworkability / Primary reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	No	Plain meaning corroborates practical
Am. Broad. Cos. v. Aereo, Inc.	573 U.S. 431 (2014)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Scalia)	No	Yes
Am. Express Co. v. Italian Colors Rest.	570 U.S. 228 (2013)	Policy / Undesirable results / Primary reliance	Dissent (Kagan)	No	No
Anza v. Ideal Steel Supply Corp.	547 U.S. 451 (2006)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Breyer)	No	No

\* This is the Appendix to Anita S. Krishnakumar, 139 HARV. L. REV. 679 (2026).

Anza v. Ideal Steel Supply Corp.	547 U.S. 451 (2006)	Administrability / Unworkability / Minimal reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	No
Apple Inc. v. Pepper	139 S. Ct. 1514 (2019)	Policy / Undesirable results / Some reliance	Majority (Kavanaugh)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Apple Inc. v. Pepper	139 S. Ct. 1514 (2019)	Policy / Undesirable results / Some reliance	Dissent (Gorsuch)	Yes	No
Arbaugh v. Y & H Corp.	546 U.S. 500 (2006)	Administrability / Judicial Resources / Primary reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	No
Arizona v. Inter Tribal Council of Ariz., Inc.*	570 U.S. 1 (2013)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Arizona v. United States	567 U.S. 387 (2012)	Policy / Undesirable results / Some reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Scalia)	Yes	No
Arlington Cent. Sch. Dist. Bd. of Educ. v. Murphy*	548 U.S. 291 (2006)	Policy / Undesirable results / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Artis v. District of Columbia	138 S. Ct. 594 (2018)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Artis v. District of Columbia*	138 S. Ct. 594 (2018)	Both policy and administrability / Absurd results + Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Gorsuch)	No	No
Astra USA, Inc. v. Santa Clara County*	563 U.S. 110 (2011)	Both policy and administrability / Absurd results + Resources / Some reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	No
Astrue v. Ratliff	560 U.S. 586 (2010)	Policy / Purpose / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Sotomayor)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
AT&T Corp. v. Hulteen	556 U.S. 701 (2009)	Policy / Beneficial Results / Some reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	Yes
AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion	563 U.S. 333 (2011)	Policy / Undesirable results + Facts about the world / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	Yes	No
AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion *	563 U.S. 333 (2011)	Policy / Undesirable results + Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Scalia)	No	No
Atl. Marine Constr. Co. v. U.S. Dist. Ct.	571 U.S. 49 (2013)	Policy / Fairness (settled expectations) / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	Yes
Atl. Richfield Co. v. Christian	140 S. Ct. 1335 (2020)	Policy / Undesirable results / Minimal reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Gorsuch)	Yes	No
Atl. Richfield Co. v. Christian	140 S. Ct. 1335 (2020)	Policy / Undesirable results / Some reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Alito)	No	No
Atl. Richfield Co. v. Christian	140 S. Ct. 1335 (2020)	Policy / Purpose + Facts about the world / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	Yes	No

Azar v. Allina Health Servs.*	139 S. Ct. 1804 (2019)	Policy / Beneficial results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Gorsuch)	Yes	No
Azar v. Allina Health Servs.*	139 S. Ct. 1804 (2019)	Administrability / Resources + Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Babb v. Wilkie	140 S. Ct. 1168 (2020)	Both policy and administrability / Resources + Fairness (settled expectations) / Primary reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	No	No
Badgerow v. Walters	142 S. Ct. 1310 (2022)	Both policy and administrability / Absurd results + Unworkability (difficult) / Primary reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Badgerow v. Walters	142 S. Ct. 1310 (2022)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Banister v. Davis	140 S. Ct. 1698 (2020)	Administrability / Resources / Minimal reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	No
Banister v. Davis*	140 S. Ct. 1698 (2020)	Administrability / Resources / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Alito)	Yes	No
Bank of Am., N.A. v. Caulkett	575 U.S. 790 (2015)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Thomas)	No	No
Barber v. Thomas	560 U.S. 474 (2010)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	Yes
Bartlett v. Strickland	556 U.S. 1 (2009)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	Yes
Bartlett v. Strickland	556 U.S. 1 (2009)	Policy / Purpose + Facts about the world / Primary reliance	Dissent (Souter)	No	Yes
Barton v. Barr*	140 S. Ct. 1442 (2020)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	No
Becerra v. Empire Health Found.	142 S. Ct. 2354 (2022)	Policy / Undesirable results / Some reliance	Dissent (Kavanaugh)	No	No
Becerra v. Empire Health Found.*	142 S. Ct. 2354 (2022)	Both administrability and policy / Undesirable results + Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	No
Beck v. PACE Int'l Union	551 U.S. 96 (2007)	Policy / Fairness / Minimal reliance	Majority (Scalia)	No	No
Begay v. United States	553 U.S. 137 (2008)	Policy / Facts about the world / Primary reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	Plain meaning corroborates practical
Biden v. Missouri	142 S. Ct. 647 (2022)	Policy / Beneficial results / Some reliance	Per curiam	No	No
Biden v. Missouri	142 S. Ct. 647 (2022)	Policy / Undesirable results / Primary reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	No

Biden v. Texas	142 S. Ct. 2528 (2022)	Policy / Undesirable results / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Biden v. Texas	142 S. Ct. 2528 (2022)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Barrett)	No	No
Biden v. Texas	142 S. Ct. 2528 (2022)	Policy / Undesirable results / Some reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Biestek v. Berryhill	139 S. Ct. 1148 (2019)	Policy / Undesirable results / Some reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	No
Biestek v. Berryhill	139 S. Ct. 1148 (2019)	Both policy and administrability / Fairness + Unworkability / Primary reliance	Dissent (Gorsuch)	No	No
Bilski v. Kappos	561 U.S. 593 (2010)	Policy / Absurd results / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Stevens)	No	No
Bloate v. United States	559 U.S. 196 (2010)	Policy / Purpose / Minimal reliance	Majority (Thomas)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Bloate v. United States	559 U.S. 196 (2010)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
BNSF Ry. Co. v. Loos	139 S. Ct. 893 (2019)	Policy / Undesirable results / Some reliance	Dissent (Gorsuch)	No	Yes
Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela v. Helmerich & Payne Int'l Drilling Co.*	581 U.S. 170 (2017)	Both policy and administrability / Beneficial Results + Unworkability / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Bond v. United States*	572 U.S. 844 (2014)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	Yes
Borden v. United States	141 S. Ct. 1817 (2021)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	Yes
Borden v. United States	141 S. Ct. 1817 (2021)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Dissent (Kavanaugh)	No	Yes
Bostock v. Clayton County	140 S. Ct. 1731 (2020)	Policy / Undesirable results / Some reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Bowles v. Russell	551 U.S. 205 (2007)	Policy / Beneficial results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Thomas)	No	No
Bowles v. Russell	551 U.S. 205 (2007)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Dissent (Souter)	No	No
BP P.L.C. v. Mayor of Balt.	141 S. Ct. 1532 (2021)	Policy / Undesirable results / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	No
Brandt Revocable Tr. v. United States	572 U.S. 93 (2014)	Policy / Undesirable results + Fairness / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	No

Brnovich v. Democratic Nat'l Comm.	141 S. Ct. 2321 (2021)	Policy / Undesirable results / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	No
Brnovich v. Democratic Nat'l Comm.	141 S. Ct. 2321 (2021)	Policy / Undesirable results / Primary reliance	Dissent (Kagan)	No	Plain meaning corroborates practical
Brown v. Plata	563 U.S. 493 (2011)	Policy / Undesirable results / Primary reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	Plain meaning corroborates practical
Brown v. Plata	563 U.S. 493 (2011)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Primary reliance	Dissent (Scalia)	No	Plain meaning corroborates practical
Brown v. Plata*	563 U.S. 493 (2011)	Policy / Undesirable results / Primary reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	No
Brownback v. King	141 S. Ct. 740 (2021)	Both policy and administrability / Fairness + Resources / Some reliance	Concurrence (Sotomayor)	No	No
Bruesewitz v. Wyeth LLC*	562 U.S. 223 (2011)	Policy / Undesirable results / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Bullard v. Blue Hills Bank	575 U.S. 496 (2015)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	No
Bullock v. BankChampaign	569 U.S. 267 (2013)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Minimal reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Burlington N. & Santa Fe Ry. Co. v. White	548 U.S. 53 (2006)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Burlington N. & Santa Fe Ry. Co. v. White	548 U.S. 53 (2006)	Both policy and administrability / Absurd results + Unworkability / Some reliance	Concurrence (Alito)	Yes	Yes
Burrage v. United States*	571 U.S. 204 (2014)	Administrability / Unworkability / Minimal reliance	Majority (Scalia)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.	573 U.S. 682 (2014)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	No
Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.	573 U.S. 682 (2014)	Policy / Facts about the world + Undesirable consequences / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	No
Cal. Pub. Emps.' Ret. Sys. v. ANZ Secs., Inc.	582 U.S. 497 (2017)	Both policy and administrability / Undesirable consequences + Resources / Some reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	No
Cal. Pub. Emps.' Ret. Sys. v. ANZ Secs., Inc.*	582 U.S. 497 (2017)	Policy / Absurd results / Primary reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	Yes
Carlsbad Tech., Inc. v. HIF BIO, Inc.	556 U.S. 635 (2009)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Breyer)	No	No
Carr v. United States*	560 U.S. 438 (2010)	Policy / Absurd results / Primary reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	No

Chadbourne & Parke LLP v. Troice*	571 U.S. 377 (2014)	Both policy and administrability / Undesirable consequences + Purpose + Unworkability / Primary reliance	Dissent (Kennedy)	No	Plain meaning corroborates practical
Chamber of Com. of the U.S. v. Whiting*	563 U.S. 582 (2011)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Chamber of Com. of the U.S. v. Whiting*	563 U.S. 582 (2011)	Policy / Undesirable consequences + Purpose / Some reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	No
Chambers v. United States	555 U.S. 122 (2009)	Policy / Facts about the world / Primary reliance	Majority (Breyer)	Yes	Yes
Chavez-Meza v. United States	138 S. Ct. 1959 (2018)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Chavez-Meza v. United States	138 S. Ct. 1959 (2018)	Administrability / Resources / Primary reliance	Dissent (Kennedy)	No	No
China Agritech, Inc. v. Resh	138 S. Ct. 1800 (2018)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	No
China Agritech, Inc. v. Resh	138 S. Ct. 1800 (2018)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Concurrence (Sotomayor)	Yes	No
Christopher v. Smithkline Beecham Corp.	567 U.S. 142 (2012)	Policy / Fairness (settled) / Primary reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	No
Cigna Corp. v. Amara	563 U.S. 421 (2011)	Both policy and administrability / Purpose + Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
City of Arlington v. FCC	569 U.S. 290 (2013)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Minimal reliance	Majority (Scalia)	No	No
City of Chicago v. Fulton	141 S. Ct. 585 (2021)	Policy / Facts about the world + Purpose / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Sotomayor)	No	No
Coeur Alaska, Inc. v. Se. Alaska Conservation Council	557 U.S. 261 (2009)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Coeur Alaska, Inc. v. Se. Alaska Conservation Council*	557 U.S. 261 (2009)	Policy / Beneficial consequences + Purpose / Some reliance	Concurrence (Breyer)	No	No
Commil USA, LLC v. Cisco Systems, Inc.	575 U.S. 632 (2015)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	No
Concepcion v. United States	142 S. Ct. 2389 (2022)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Dissent (Kavanaugh)	No	No
Conkright v. Frommert	559 U.S. 506 (2010)	Administrability / Unworkability / Primary reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	No
Conkright v. Frommert	559 U.S. 506 (2010)	Both policy and administrability / Unworkability + Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No

Corley v. United States*	556 U.S. 303 (2009)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Souter)	Yes	No
County of Maui v. Haw. Wildlife Fund	140 S. Ct. 1462 (2020)	Policy / Beneficial consequences / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
County of Maui v. Haw. Wildlife Fund*	140 S. Ct. 1462 (2020)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
County of Maui v. Haw. Wildlife Fund*	140 S. Ct. 1462 (2020)	Policy / Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	Yes
Crawford v. Metro. Gov't	555 U.S. 271 (2009)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Souter)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Crawford v. Metro. Gov't	555 U.S. 271 (2009)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Concurrence (Alito)	No	No
Credit Suisse Secs. LLC v. Billing (2007)	551 U.S. 264 (2007)	Policy / Beneficial consequences / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Stevens)	No	No
Credit Suisse Secs. LLC v. Simmonds (2011)*	566 U.S. 221 (2012)	Policy / Fairness + Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Scalia)	No	No
CRST Van Expedited, Inc. v. EEOC*	578 U.S. 419 (2016)	Policy / Absurd results / Primary reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	No
CSX Transp., Inc. v. Ala. Dep't of Revenue	562 U.S. 277 (2011)	Policy / Undesirable Consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	No	No
CSX Transp., Inc. v. Ga. State Bd. of Equalization*	552 U.S. 9 (2007)	Policy / Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
CSX Transp., Inc. v. McBride	564 U.S. 685 (2011)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	Yes
Cullen v. Pinholster	563 U.S. 170 (2011)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	No
Cummings v. Premier Rehab Keller, P.L.L.C.	142 S. Ct. 1562 (2022)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Cuomo v. Clearing House Ass'n	557 U.S. 519 (2009)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Scalia)	No	No
Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee*	579 U.S. 261 (2016)	Policy / Fairness + Purpose + / Some reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Alito)	No	No
Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee*	579 U.S. 261 (2016)	Policy / Purpose + / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Czyzewski v. Jevic Holding Corp.	580 U.S. 451 (2017)	Both policy and administrability / Unworkability + Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No

Dada v. Mukasey	554 U.S. 1 (2008)	Policy / Facts about the world + Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	No
Dean v. United States	556 U.S. 568 (2009)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Dissent (Stevens)	No	No
Dean v. United States*	556 U.S. 568 (2009)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Dep't of Com. v. New York	139 S. Ct. 2551 (2019)	Both policy and administrability / Undesirable consequences + Resources / Some reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Alito)	No	Yes
Dep't of Com. v. New York	139 S. Ct. 2551 (2019)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Thomas)	No	No
Dep't of Com. v. New York	139 S. Ct. 2551 (2019)	Policy / Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	No
Descamps v. United States	570 U.S. 254 (2013)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	Yes
Descamps v. United States	570 U.S. 254 (2013)	Both policy and administrability / Purpose + Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	Plain meaning corroborates practical
Descamps v. United States	570 U.S. 254 (2013)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Concurrence (Kennedy)	No	No
DHS v. Regents of the Univ. of Cal.	140 S. Ct. 1891 (2020)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Alito)	No	No
DHS v. Regents of the Univ. of Cal.	140 S. Ct. 1891 (2020)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	No
Dillon v. United States	560 U.S. 817 (2010)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Dissent (Stevens)	No	No
Direct Mktg. Ass'n v. Brohl	575 U.S. 1 (2015)	Administrability / Resources / Minimal reliance	Majority (Thomas)	No	No
Direct Mktg. Ass'n v. Brohl	575 U.S. 1 (2015)	Policy / Fairness / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Kennedy)	No	No
DirectTV, Inc. v. Imburgia	577 U.S. 47 (2015)	Policy / Fairness + Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	No
Dolan v. United States	560 U.S. 605 (2010)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	Yes
Dorsey v. United States*	567 U.S. 260 (2012)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Dutra Grp. v. Batterton	139 S. Ct. 2275 (2019)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	No

Dutra Grp. v. Batten	139 S. Ct. 2275 (2019)	Both policy and administrability / Absurd results + Beneficial consequences / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	Yes	No
Elgin v. Dep't of Treasury	567 U.S. 1 (2012)	Policy / Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Thomas)	No	Yes
Elonis v. United States	575 U.S. 723 (2015)	Administrability / Unworkability / Primary reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Alito)	No	No
Elonis v. United States	575 U.S. 723 (2015)	Administrability / Unworkability / Primary reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	No	No
Empire HealthChoice Assurance, Inc. v. McVeigh	547 U.S. 677 (2006)	Administrability / Fairness + Beneficial consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Encino Motorcars, LLC v. Navarro	584 U.S. 79 (2016)	Policy / Fairness (settled) / Primary reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	No
EPA v. EME Homer City Generation, L.P.	572 U.S. 489 (2014)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Dissent (Scalia)	No	Yes
Epic Sys. Corp. v. Lewis	584 U.S. 497 (2018)	Policy / Fairness / Primary reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	No
Facebook, Inc. v. Duguid*	141 S. Ct. 1163 (2021)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
FCC v. Fox Television Stations, Inc.	556 U.S. 502 (2009)	Policy / Fairness (settled) / Primary reliance	Dissent (Stevens)	No	Plain meaning corroborates practical
Fed. Aviation Admin. v. Cooper*	566 U.S. 284 (2012)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Fed. Energy Regul. Comm. v. Elec. Power Supply Ass'n*	577 U.S. 260 (2016)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	No
Fed. Express Corp. v. Holowecki	552 U.S. 389 (2008)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Minimal reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	No
Fed. Express Corp. v. Holowecki	552 U.S. 389 (2008)	Administrability / Unworkability / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Federal Republic of Germany v. Philipp	141 S. Ct. 703 (2021)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	No
Fernandez-Vargas v. Gonzales	548 U.S. 30 (2006)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Dissent (Stevens)	No	No
Filarksy v. Delia	566 U.S. 377 (2012)	Both policy and administrability / Undesirable consequences + Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	No
Fla. Dep't of Revenue v. Picadilly Cafeterias, Inc.*	554 U.S. 33 (2008)	Policy / Purpose / Primary reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No

Flores-Figueroa v. United States	556 U.S. 646 (2009)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Concurrence (Alito)	No	No
Food Mktg. Inst. v. Argus Leader Media	139 S. Ct. 2356 (2019)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Breyer)	No	No
Forest Grove Sch. Dist. v. T.A.	557 U.S. 230 (2009)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Stevens)	No	Yes
Forest Grove Sch. Dist. v. T.A.*	557 U.S. 230 (2009)	Policy / Facts about the world + Beneficial results / Some reliance	Dissent (Souter)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Fourth Est. Pub. Benefit Corp. v. Wall-Street.Com, LLC	139 S. Ct. 881 (2019)	Administrability / Unworkability / Minimal reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	Yes	No
Fowler v. United States	563 U.S. 668 (2011)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Fowler v. United States	563 U.S. 668 (2011)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Concurrence (Scalia)	No	Yes
Fowler v. United States*	563 U.S. 668 (2011)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	Yes
Fox v. Vice	563 U.S. 826 (2011)	Policy / Absurd results + Fairness / Some reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	No
Freeman v. United States	564 U.S. 522 (2011)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Roberts)	No	No
FTC v. Actavis, Inc.	570 U.S. 136 (2013)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
FTC v. Actavis, Inc.	570 U.S. 136 (2013)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Roberts)	No	No
Gallardo v. Marstiller*	142 S. Ct. 1751 (2022)	Policy / Absurd results + Purpose / Some reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	No
Garland v. Gonzalez*	142 S. Ct. 2057 (2022)	Both policy and administrability / Fairness + Resources / Some reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Sotomayor)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Garland v. Gonzalez*	142 S. Ct. 2057 (2022)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Gelboim v. Bank of Am. Corp.	574 U.S. 405 (2015)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	Yes
Georgia v. Public.Resource.org, Inc.	140 S. Ct. 1498 (2020)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	No
Georgia v. Public.Resource.org, Inc.	140 S. Ct. 1498 (2020)	Both policy and administrability / Undesirable consequences + Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	Yes	No

Gobeille v. Liberty Mut. Ins. Co.	577 U.S. 312 (2016)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	No
Gobeille v. Liberty Mut. Ins. Co.	577 U.S. 312 (2016)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	No
Gobeille v. Liberty Mut. Ins. Co.	577 U.S. 312 (2016)	Administrability / Resources / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Breyer)	No	No
Gonzales v. Carhart	550 U.S. 124 (2007)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	No
Gonzalez v. Thaler	565 U.S. 134 (2012)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	No	Yes
Gonzalez v. Thaler	565 U.S. 134 (2012)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Dissent (Scalia)	Yes	No
Gonzalez v. United States	553 U.S. 242 (2008)	Policy / Beneficial consequences / Primary reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	No
Gonzalez v. United States	553 U.S. 242 (2008)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	No	No
Google LLC v. Oracle Am., Inc.	141 S. Ct. 1183 (2021)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	No	No
Graham Cnty. Soil & Water Conservation Dist. v. United States <i>ex rel. Wilson*</i>	559 U.S. 280 (2010)	Policy / Purpose / Some reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	No
Green v. Brennan	578 U.S. 547 (2016)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	No	No
Green v. Brennan	578 U.S. 547 (2016)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Concurrence (Alito)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Greenlaw v. United States	544 U.S. 237 (2008)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Dissent (Alito)	Yes	Yes
Greenlaw v. United States*	544 U.S. 237 (2008)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	No
Gross v. FBL Fin. Servs., Inc.	557 U.S. 167 (2009)	Administrability / Unworkability / Minimal reliance	Majority (Thomas)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Gross v. FBL Fin. Servs., Inc.	557 U.S. 167 (2009)	Policy / Facts about the world / Primary reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Guerrero-Lasprilla v. Barr	140 S. Ct. 1062 (2020)	Policy / Purpose / Minimal reliance	Majority (Breyer)	Yes	No
Guerrero-Lasprilla v. Barr	140 S. Ct. 1062 (2020)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	No	Yes

Hall St. Assocs., L.L.C. v. Mattel, Inc.	552 U.S. 576 (2008)	Administrability / Resources / Minimal reliance	Majority (Souter)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Hall v. United States *	566 U.S. 506 (2012)	Policy / Absurd results + Purpose / Primary reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Halliburton Co. v. Erica P. John Fund, Inc.	573 U.S. 258 (2014)	Policy / Beneficial Results / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Ginsburg)	Yes	No
Halliburton Co. v. Erica P. John Fund, Inc.	573 U.S. 258 (2014)	Policy / Facts about the world + Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Thomas)	No	No
Halo Elecs., Inc. v. Pulse Elecs., Inc.*	579 U.S. 93 (2016)	Policy / Facts about the world + Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Concurrence (Breyer)	No	No
Hamdan v. Rumsfeld	548 U.S. 557 (2006)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Breyer)	Yes	No
Hamilton v. Lanning*	560 U.S. 505 (2010)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	Yes
Harbison v. Bell	556 U.S. 180 (2009)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Scalia)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Harbison v. Bell	556 U.S. 180 (2009)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Stevens)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Harrington v. Richter	562 U.S. 86 (2010)	Administrability / Resources / Minimal reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	Yes
Hertz Corp. v. Friend	559 U.S. 77 (2010)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Primary reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	Plain meaning corroborates practical
Holland v. Florida	560 U.S. 631 (2010)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Scalia)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Holland v. Florida	560 U.S. 631 (2010)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Concurrence (Alito)	No	No
Hollyfrontier Cheyenne Refin., LLC v. Renewable Fuels Ass'n	141 S. Ct. 2172 (2021)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Minimal reliance	Majority (Gorsuch)	Yes	Yes
Hollyfrontier Cheyenne Refin., LLC v. Renewable Fuels Ass'n	141 S. Ct. 2172 (2021)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Barrett)	Yes	Yes
Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. v. Jackson*	139 S. Ct. 1743 (2019)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	Yes
Horne v. Dep't of Agric.*	569 U.S. 513 (2013)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Thomas)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Hughes v. United States	138 S. Ct. 1765 (2018)	Policy / Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	No

Hughes v. United States	138 S. Ct. 1765 (2018)	Both policy and administrability / Undesirable consequences + Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Dissent (Roberts)	No	No
Hughes v. United States	138 S. Ct. 1765 (2018)	Administrability / Unworkability / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Sotomayor)	No	No
Husted v. A. Philip Randolph Inst.	138 S. Ct. 1833 (2018)	Policy / Facts about the world + Fairness / Some reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	No
Iancu v. Brunetti	139 S. Ct. 2294 (2019)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Sotomayor)	No	No
Ill. Tool Works Inc. v. Indep. Ink, Inc.	547 U.S. 28 (2006)	Policy / Facts about the world / Some reliance	Majority (Stevens)	No	No
Impression Prods., Inc. v. Lexmark Int'l, Inc.	581 U.S. 360 (2017)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Minimal reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	No
Jam v. Int'l Fin. Corp.	139 S. Ct. 759 (2019)	Both policy and administrability / Undesirable consequences + Purpose + Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
James v. United States*	550 U.S. 192 (2007)	Administrability / Unworkability / Primary reliance	Dissent (Scalia)	No	Yes
Janus Cap. Grp., Inc. v. First Derivative Traders	564 U.S. 135 (2011)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	Yes
Jennings v. Rodriguez	138 S. Ct. 830 (2018)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	Yes
Jennings v. Rodriguez	138 S. Ct. 830 (2018)	Policy / Fairness / Primary reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Jerman v. Carlisle, McNellie, Rini, Kramer & Ulrich LPA	559 U.S. 573 (2010)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Dissent (Kennedy)	No	Yes
Jesner v. Arab Bank, PLC	138 S. Ct. 1386 (2018)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	No
Jesner v. Arab Bank, PLC	138 S. Ct. 1386 (2018)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Thomas)	No	No
Jesner v. Arab Bank, PLC	138 S. Ct. 1386 (2018)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Concurrence (Gorsuch)	No	No
Jesner v. Arab Bank, PLC*	138 S. Ct. 1386 (2018)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	Yes	No
Jesner v. Arab Bank, PLC*	138 S. Ct. 1386 (2018)	Policy / Undesirable consequences + Purpose / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Alito)	No	No

John R. Sand & Gravel Co. v. United States	552 U.S. 130 (2008)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	No
Johnson v. Arteaga-Martinez	142 S. Ct. 1827 (2022)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Concurrence (Thomas)	No	No
Johnson v. Guzman-Chavez*	141 S. Ct. 2271 (2021)	Policy / Beneficial consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Johnson v. Guzman-Chavez*	141 S. Ct. 2271 (2021)	Policy / Facts about the world / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	Yes
Johnson v. United States	559 U.S. 133 (2010)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	No
Johnson v. Williams	568 U.S. 289 (2013)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Concurrence (Scalia)	No	No
Jones v. Harris Assocs. L.P.	559 U.S. 335 (2010)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	No
Judulang v. Holder	565 U.S. 42 (2011)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	No
Kansas v. Garcia	140 S.Ct. 791 (2020)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Breyer)	No	No
Kansas v. Garcia	140 S.Ct. 791 (2020)	Derogation-resembling / Term of Art / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Kasten v. Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Corp.	563 U.S. 1 (2011)	Policy / Facts about the world + Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd. v. Regal-Beloit Corp.	561 U.S. 89 (2010)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd. v. Regal-Beloit Corp.*	561 U.S. 89 (2010)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Kawashima v. Holder	565 U.S. 478 (2012)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	No
Kellogg Brown & Root Servs., Inc. v. United States <i>ex rel.</i> Carter*	575 U.S. 650 (2015)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Kennedy v. Plan Adm'r for DuPont Savs. & Inv. Plan	555 U.S. 285 (2009)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Majority (Souter)	No	No
Kimble v. Marvel Ent., LLC	576 U.S. 446 (2015)	Both policy and administrability / Unworkability + Fairness (settled interests) / Primary reliance	Majority (Kagan)	Yes	No
Kimble v. Marvel Ent., LLC	576 U.S. 446 (2015)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	No

Kimbrough v. United States	552 U.S. 85 (2007)	Policy / Fairness / Minimal reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	Yes	Yes
Kindred Nursing Ctrs. Ltd. P'ship v. Clark	137 S.Ct. 1421 (2017)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	Yes
King v. Burwell*	576 U.S. 473 (2015)	Policy / Undesirable consequences + Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	No
Kiobel v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co.*	569 U.S. 108 (2013)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Minimal reliance	Majority (Roberts)	Yes	No
Kirtsaeng v. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.	579 U.S. 197 (2016)	Both policy and administrability / Beneficial consequences + Unworkability (difficult) / Primary reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Kirtsaeng v. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.	568 U.S. 519 (2013)	Policy / Undesirable consequences + Fairness (settled interests) / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Kisor v. Wilkie	139 S.Ct. 2400 (2019)	Both policy and administrability / Beneficial consequences + Unworkability / Primary reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	No
Kisor v. Wilkie	139 S.Ct. 2400 (2019)	Both policy and administrability / Undesirable consequences + Unworkability / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Gorsuch)	No	Plain meaning corroborates practical
Knight v. Comm'r	552 U.S. 181 (2008)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Ky. Ret. Sys. v. EEOC	554 U.S. 135 (2008)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Lagos v. United States*	138 S.Ct. 1684 (2018)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Lamar, Archer & Cofrin, LLP v. Appleplig*	138 S.Ct. 1752 (2018)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Lamps Plus, Inc. v. Varela	139 S.Ct. 1407 (2019)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	No
Lamps Plus, Inc. v. Varela	139 S.Ct. 1407 (2019)	Policy / Fairness / Primary reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	No
Lamps Plus, Inc. v. Varela	139 S.Ct. 1407 (2019)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Kagan)	No	No
LaRue v. De Wolff, Boberb & Assocs., Inc.	552 U.S. 248 (2008)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Concurrence (Roberts)	No	No
Lawrence v. Florida	549 U.S. 327 (2007)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Thomas)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Lawrence v. Florida	549 U.S. 327 (2007)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	No

Lawson v. FMR LLC	571 U.S. 429 (2014)	Policy / Absurd results / Primary reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	No
Ledbetter v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.	550 U.S. 618 (2007)	Policy / Facts about the world / Primary reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	No
Leegin Creative Leather Prods. v. PSKS, Inc.	551 U.S. 877 (2007)	Policy / Facts about the world / Primary reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	No
Life Techs. Corp. v. Promega Corp.	580 U.S. 140 (2017)	Administrability / Un- workability (difficult) / Some reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	No	Yes
Limelight Networks, Inc. v. Akamai Techs., Inc.	572 U.S. 915 (2014)	Administrability / Un- workability / Some reli- ance	Majority (Alito)	No	No
Limtiaco v. Camacho*	549 U.S. 483 (2007)	Policy / Undesirable conse- quences / Primary reliance	Partial con- curring / Par- tial dissenting (Souter)	No	No
Little Sisters of the Poor Saints Peter & Paul Home v. Pennsyl- vania	140 S. Ct. 2367 (2020)	Policy / Undesirable conse- quences / Some reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	Yes
Liu v. SEC*	140 S. Ct. 1936 (2020)	Both policy and admin- istrability / Undesirable consequences + Unworka- bility / Primary reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	No	No
Logan v. United States*	552 U.S. 23 (2007)	Policy / Undesirable conse- quences / Some reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Lorenzo v. SEC*	139 S. Ct. 1094 (2019)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Lozman v. City of Riv- iera Beach	568 U.S. 115 (2013)	Administrability / Re- sources / Minimal reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	Yes
Lozman v. City of Riv- iera Beach*	568 U.S. 115 (2013)	Administrability / Un- workability / Some reli- ance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	Yes
Luna Torres v. Lynch	578 U.S. 452 (2016)	Policy / Absurd results + Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	No
Mac's Shell Serv., Inc. v. Shell Oil Prods. Co.*	559 U.S. 175 (2010)	Administrability / Un- workability / Some reli- ance	Majority (Alito)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Magwood v. Patterson	561 U.S. 320 (2010)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Thomas)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Magwood v. Patterson	561 U.S. 320 (2010)	Administrability / Un- workability / Some reli- ance	Dissent (Kennedy)	No	No
Maracich v. Spears	570 U.S. 48 (2013)	Both policy and admin- istrability / Undesirable consequences + Unworka- bility / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	No
Maracich v. Spears*	570 U.S. 48 (2013)	Both policy and admin- istrability / Purpose + Un- workability (difficult) / Some reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning

Marietta Mem'l Hosp. Emp. Health Benefit Plan v. Davita Inc.	142 S. Ct. 1968 (2022)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Majority (Kavanaugh)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Marietta Mem'l Hosp. Emp. Health Benefit Plan v. Davita Inc.	142 S. Ct. 1968 (2022)	Policy / Facts about the world / Primary reliance	Dissent (Kagan)	No	Plain meaning corroborates practical
Marinello v. United States	584 U.S. 1 (2018)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Marinello v. United States*	584 U.S. 1 (2018)	Policy / Fairness + Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Maslenjak v. United States*	582 U.S. 335 (2017)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Match-e-be-nash-she-wish Band of Pottawatomis Indians v. Patchak*	567 U.S. 209 (2012)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	No
Mathis v. United States	579 U.S. 500 (2016)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Mathis v. United States*	579 U.S. 500 (2016)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Mathis v. United States*	579 U.S. 500 (2016)	Policy / Absurd results + Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	No
Mathis v. United States*	579 U.S. 500 (2016)	Policy / Fairness + Absurd results / Some reliance	Concurrence (Kennedy)	No	No
Mayo Collaborative Servs. v. Prometheus Lab'ys, Inc.	566 U.S. 66 (2012)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
McDonough v. Smith	588 U.S. 109 (2019)	Both policy and administrability / Fairness + Unworkability / Some reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	No	No
McGirt v. Oklahoma	591 U.S. 894 (2020)	Policy / Fairness / Minimal reliance	Majority (Gorsuch)	Yes	Yes
McGirt v. Oklahoma	591 U.S. 894 (2020)	Administrability / Resources + Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Roberts)	No	No
McNeill v. United States*	563 U.S. 816 (2011)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Thomas)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
McQuiggin v. Perkins	569 U.S. 383 (2013)	Policy / Absurd results + Fairness / Some reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	Yes	No
McQuiggin v. Perkins	569 U.S. 383 (2013)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Dissent (Scalia)	Yes	No
Me. Cmty. Health Options v. United States	140 S. Ct. 1308 (2020)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	No

Medtronic, Inc. v. Mirowski Fam. Ventures, LLC	571 U.S. 191 (2014)	Policy / Undesirable consequences + Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Mellouli v. Lynch *	575 U.S. 798 (2015)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. v. Albrecht	139 S. Ct. 1668 (2019)	Policy / Beneficial consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc. v. Manning	578 U.S. 374 (2015)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	Yes
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc. v. Manning	578 U.S. 374 (2015)	Administrability / Unworkability / Minimal reliance	Concurrence (Thomas)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Michigan v. Bay Mills Indian Cmty.	572 U.S. 782 (2014)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	No	No
Michigan v. Bay Mills Indian Cmty.*	572 U.S. 782 (2014)	Policy / Absurd results + Fairness / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Sotomayor)	Yes	No
Microsoft Corp. v. Baker	582 U.S. 23 (2017)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	No
Midland Funding, LLC v. Johnson	581 U.S. 224 (2017)	Policy / Beneficial consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Midland Funding, LLC v. Johnson	581 U.S. 224 (2017)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	Yes	No
Milavetz, Gallop & Milavetz, P.A. v. United States	559 U.S. 229 (2010)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Milner v. Dep't of the Navy	562 U.S. 562 (2011)	Policy / Fairness (settled interests) / Primary reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Ministry of Def. & Support for the Armed Forces of the Islamic Republic of Iran v. Elahi	556 U.S. 366 (2009)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Partial concurring, Partial dissenting (Kennedy)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Miss. <i>ex rel.</i> Hood v. AU Optronics Corp.*	571 U.S. 161 (2014)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Mohawk Indus., Inc. v. Carpenter	558 U.S. 100 (2009)	Administrability / Resources / Primary reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	No	No
Moncrieffe v. Holder*	569 U.S. 184 (2013)	Both policy and administrability / Absurd results + Resources / Some reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	No	Yes
Moncrieffe v. Holder*	569 U.S. 184 (2013)	Policy / Fairness + Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	Plain meaning corroborates practical
Mont v. United States	139 S. Ct. 1826 (2019)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Thomas)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning

Mont v. United States*	139 S. Ct. 1826 (2019)	Policy / Fairness + Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Montanile v. Bd. of Trs. of the Nat'l Elevator Indus. Health Benefit Plan	577 U.S. 136 (2016)	Policy / Absurd results / Primary reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	No
Morgan Stanley Cap. Grp. Inc. v. Pub. Util. Dist. No. 1	554 U.S. 527 (2008)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Scalia)	No	Yes
Morrison v. Nat'l Austl. Bank Ltd.	561 U.S. 247 (2010)	Administrability / Unworkability / Primary reliance	Majority (Scalia)	No	Plain meaning corroborates practical
Morrison v. Nat'l Austl. Bank Ltd.*	561 U.S. 247 (2010)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Concurrence (Stevens)	Yes	No
Murphy v. Nat'l Collegiate Athletic Ass'n*	138 S. Ct. 1461 (2018)	Administrability / Unworkability / Minimal reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	Yes
Murphy v. Smith*	138 S. Ct. 784 (2018)	Policy / Facts about the world / Some reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	Yes
Mut. Pharm. Co. v. Bartlett*	570 U.S. 472 (2013)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	No
N.C. State Bd. v. FTC	574 U.S. 494 (2015)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	No
N.C. State Bd. v. FTC	574 U.S. 494 (2015)	Both policy and administrability / Absurd results + Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	No
Nance v. Ward	142 S. Ct. 2214 (2022)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	No
Nasrallah v. Barr	140 S. Ct. 1683 (2020)	Policy / Fairness (settled interests) / Some reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	No	Yes
Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.	572 U.S. 898 (2014)	Administrability / Unworkability / Primary reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	No
Negusie v. Holder	555 U.S. 511 (2009)	Both policy and administrability / Fairness + Resources / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Scalia)	Yes	No
Nestlé USA, Inc. v. Doe	141 S. Ct. 1931 (2021)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Minimal reliance	Partial concurrence (Sotomayor)	Yes	Yes
Nestlé USA, Inc. v. Doe	141 S. Ct. 1931 (2021)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Thomas)	No	No
Nestlé USA, Inc. v. Doe*	141 S. Ct. 1931 (2021)	Both policy and administrability / Unworkability (difficult) + Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Concurrence (Gorsuch)	No	No
New Process Steel, L.P. v. NLRB*	560 U.S. 674 (2010)	Policy / Purpose / Primary reliance	Dissent (Kennedy)	No	Plain meaning corroborates practical

NFIB v. Dep't of Lab.	142 S. Ct. 661 (2022)	Policy / Undesirable consequences/ Some reliance	Concurrence (Gorsuch)	No	No
NFIB v. Dep't of Lab.	142 S. Ct. 661 (2022)	Policy / Facts about the world + Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Per curiam	No	No
NFIB v. Dep't of Lab.	142 S. Ct. 661 (2022)	Policy / Facts about the world + Beneficial consequences / Primary reliance	Joint Dissent	Yes	No
Nielson v. Preap	139 S. Ct. 954 (2019)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Concurrence (Kavanaugh)	No	No
Nielson v. Preap*	139 S. Ct. 954 (2019)	Policy / Facts about the world + Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Nielson v. Preap*	139 S. Ct. 954 (2019)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Niz-Chavez v. Garland	141 S. Ct. 1474 (2021)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Majority (Gorsuch)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Niz-Chavez v. Garland	141 S. Ct. 1474 (2021)	Both policy and administrability / Absurd results + Resources / Some reliance	Dissent (Kavanaugh)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Norfolk S. Ry. Co. v. Sorrell	549 U.S. 158 (2007)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	No
NRG Power Mktg., LLC v. Me. Pub. Utils. Comm'n	558 U.S. 165 (2010)	Policy / Purpose + Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	No
Ocasio v. United States	578 U.S. 282 (2016)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	Yes	No
Ocasio v. United States	578 U.S. 282 (2016)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	Yes
Ocasio v. United States	578 U.S. 282 (2016)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Breyer)	No	No
Omnicare, Inc. v. Laborers Dist. Council Constr. Indus. Pension Fund	575 U.S. 175 (2015)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	No
Oneok, Inc. v. Learjet, Inc.*	575 U.S. 373 (2015)	Administrability / Unworkability / Primary reliance	Dissent (Scalia)	No	No
Osborn v. Haley	549 U.S. 225 (2007)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Breyer)	No	Yes
Pac. Operators Offshore, LLP v. Valladolid	565 U.S. 207 (2012)	Administrability / Unworkability / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Scalia)	No	Yes
Pac. Bell Tel. Co. v. Linkline Commc'ns, Inc.	555 U.S. 438 (2009)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	No

Panetti v. Quarterman	551 U.S. 930 (2007)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	No
Paroline v. United States	572 U.S. 434 (2014)	Policy / Beneficial consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	Yes
Paroline v. United States	572 U.S. 434 (2014)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	Yes
Patel v. Garland*	142 S. Ct. 1614 (2022)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Dissent (Gorsuch)	No	No
Perdue v. Kenny A. <i>ex rel.</i> Winn	559 U.S. 542 (2010)	Both policy and administrability / Purpose + Unworkability / Primary reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	No
Pereida v. Wilkinson*	141 S. Ct. 754 (2021)	Administrability / Fairness + Resources / Primary reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	Yes
Pereira v. Sessions	138 S. Ct. 2105 (2018)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	No
Pereira v. Sessions*	138 S. Ct. 2105 (2018)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	No	Yes
Perez v. Mortg. Bankers Ass'n	575 U.S. 92 (2015)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Scalia)	No	No
Perry v. Merit Sys. Prot. Bd.	582 U.S. 420 (2017)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	No
Perry v. Merit Sys. Prot. Bd.	582 U.S. 420 (2017)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Gorsuch)	No	No
Petrella v. Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer, Inc.	572 U.S. 663 (2014)	Policy / Fairness / Primary reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Pliva, Inc. v. Mensing*	564 U.S. 604 (2011)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	No
Puerto Rico v. Franklin Cal. Tax-Free Tr.	579 U.S. 115 (2016)	Policy / Facts about the world / Primary reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	No
Quarles v. United States*	139 S. Ct. 1872 (2019)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Majority (Kavanaugh)	No	Yes
Ransom v. FIA Card Servs., N.A.*	562 U.S. 61 (2011)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Rapanos v. United States	547 U.S. 715 (2006)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Plurality (Scalia)	No	Yes
Rapanos v. United States	547 U.S. 715 (2006)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Dissent (Stevens)	No	No

Rapanos v. United States	547 U.S. 715 (2006)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Concurrence (Kennedy)	No	Yes
Ray Haluch Gravel Co. v. Cent. Pension Fund of the Int'l Union of Operating Eng'rs	571 U.S. 177 (2014)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	Yes	No
Rehaif v. United States	139 S. Ct. 2191 (2019)	Both policy and administrability / Resources + Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	No
Rehaif v. United States*	139 S. Ct. 2191 (2019)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Rehberg v. Paulk	566 U.S. 356 (2012)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	No
Republic of Iraq v. Beatty*	556 U.S. 848 (2009)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Scalia)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Republic of Sudan v. Harrison*	139 S. Ct. 1048 (2019)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Republic of Sudan v. Harrison*	139 S. Ct. 1048 (2019)	Policy / Facts about the world / Some reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	No	No
Return Mail, Inc. v. USPS	139 S. Ct. 1853 (2019)	Policy / beneficial consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	No	No
Return Mail, Inc. v. USPS	139 S. Ct. 1853 (2019)	Policy / beneficial consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Ricci v. DeStefano	557 U.S. 557 (2009)	Policy / Fairness (settled interests) / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	No
Ricci v. DeStefano	557 U.S. 557 (2009)	Policy / Facts about the world / Primary reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	Yes	No
Richlin Sec. Serv. Co. v. Chertoff*	553 U.S. 571 (2008)	Administrability / Unworkability / Minimal reliance	Majority (Alito)	Yes	No
Riegel v. Medtronic, Inc.	552 U.S. 312 (2008)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Scalia)	No	Yes
Riegel v. Medtronic, Inc.*	552 U.S. 312 (2008)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	No
Riley v. Kennedy	553 U.S. 406 (2008)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	No
Riley v. Kennedy	553 U.S. 406 (2008)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Stevens)	No	No
Ritzen Group, Inc. v. Jackson Masonry, LLC	140 S. Ct. 582 (2020)	Administrability / Resources / Minimal reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	Yes	Yes

RJR Nabisco, Inc. v. Eur. Cmty.*	579 U.S. 325 (2016)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Robers v. United States	572 U.S. 639 (2014)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Minimal reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Roberts v. Sea-Land Servs., Inc.	566 U.S. 93 (2012)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	No	No
Rockwell Int'l Corp. v. United States*	549 U.S. 457 (2007)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Scalia)	No	No
Roper v. Weaver	550 U.S. 598 (2007)	Policy / Fairness / Primary reliance	Per curiam	No	No
Rutledge v. Pharm. Care Mgmt. Ass'n*	141 S. Ct. 474 (2020)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Concurrence (Thomas)	No	Yes
Safeco Ins. Co. of Am. v. Burr*	551 U.S. 47 (2007)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Souter)	Yes	Yes
Safeco Ins. Co. of Am. v. Burr*	551 U.S. 47 (2007)	Policy / Fairness / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Stevens)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Sandifer v. U.S. Steel Corp.	571 U.S. 220 (2014)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Scalia)	No	Yes
SAS Inst., Inc. v. Iancu*	138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	No
SCA Hygiene Prods. Aktiebolag v. First Quality Baby Prods., LLC	580 U.S. 328 (2017)	Policy / Fairness / Primary reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Schindler Elevator Corp. v. United States <i>ex rel.</i> Kirk	563 U.S. 401 (2011)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	No
Schwab v. Reilly	560 U.S. 770 (2010)	Policy / Fairness + Purpose / Some reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Scialabba v. Cuellar de Osorio	573 U.S. 41 (2014)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Plurality (Kagan)	No	Yes
Scialabba v. Cuellar de Osorio	573 U.S. 41 (2014)	Administrability / Unworkability / Minimal reliance	Concurrence (Roberts)	No	No
Setser v. United States	566 U.S. 231 (2012)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Shaw v. United States	580 U.S. 63 (2016)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Shinn v. Martinez Ramirez*	142 S. Ct. 1718 (2022)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Thomas)	No	No

Shinn v. Martinez Ramirez*	142 S. Ct. 1718 (2022)	Policy / Fairness / Primary reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	No
Shinseki v. Sanders	556 U.S. 396 (2009)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Shoop v. Twyford	142 S. Ct. 2037 (2022)	Both policy and administrability / Resources + Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Simmons v. Himmelreich*	578 U.S. 621 (2016)	Policy / Absurd results + Purpose / Minimal reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Smith v. Berryhill*	139 S. Ct. 1765 (2019)	Policy / Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Sossamon v. Texas	563 U.S. 277 (2011)	Policy / Purpose / Some reliance	Dissent (Sotomayor)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Spears v. United States *	555 U.S. 261 (2009)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Per curiam	No	No
Star Athletica, L.L.C. v. Varsity Brands, Inc.	580 U.S. 405 (2017)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Thomas)	No	No
Star Athletica, L.L.C. v. Varsity Brands, Inc.	580 U.S. 405 (2017)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Staub v. Proctor Hosp.	562 U.S. 411 (2011)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Scalia)	No	Yes
Stokeling v. United States	139 S. Ct. 544 (2019)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Thomas)	No	No
Stoneridge Inv. Partners, LLC v. Sci.-Atlanta, Inc.	552 U.S. 148 (2008)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	Yes	No
Sturgeon v. Frost	577 U.S. 424 (2016)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	No
Sturgeon v. Frost	587 U.S. 28 (2019)	Policy / Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	No
Sturgeon v. Frost *	587 U.S. 28 (2019)	Policy / Purpose / Some reliance	Concurrence (Sotomayor)	No	No
Sykes v. United States	564 U.S. 1 (2011)	Policy / Facts about the world / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	No
Sykes v. United States	564 U.S. 1 (2011)	Both policy and administrability / Undesirable consequences + Unworkability / Primary reliance	Dissent (Scalia)	Yes	No
Sykes v. United States	564 U.S. 1 (2011)	Policy / Facts about the world / Some reliance	Concurrence (Thomas)	No	No

Taggart v. Lorenzen *	139 S. Ct. 1795 (2019)	Both policy and administrability / Purpose + Unworkability / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Terry v. United States	141 S. Ct. 1858 (2021)	Policy / Fairness / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Sotomayor)	No	No
Tex. Dep't of Hous. & Cmty. Affs. v. Inclusive Cmty. Project, Inc.	576 U.S. 519 (2015)	Policy / Fairness (settled interests) + Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	Yes
Tex. Dep't of Hous. & Cmty. Affs. v. Inclusive Cmty. Project, Inc.	576 U.S. 519 (2015)	Policy / Facts about the world + Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Thompson v. N. Am. Stainless, LP	562 U.S. 170 (2011)	Policy / Absurd results / Primary reliance	Majority (Scalia)	No	Yes
Thryv, Inc. v. Click-to-Call Techs., LP	140 S. Ct. 1367 (2020)	Policy / Purpose / Primary reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	No
Thryv, Inc. v. Click-to-Call Techs., LP	140 S. Ct. 1367 (2020)	Policy / Absurd results / Primary reliance	Dissent (Gorsuch)	Yes	Yes
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Serv. v. Sierra Club	141 S. Ct. 777 (2021)	Policy / Facts about the world / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
U.S. Pat. & Trademark Off. v. Booking.com	140 S. Ct. 2298 (2020)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Unicolors, Inc. v. H&M Hennes & Mauritz, L.P.	142 S. Ct. 941 (2022)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	No	No
United States v. Apel	571 U.S. 359 (2014)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
United States v. Briggs*	141 S. Ct. 467 (2020)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	No
United States v. Castleman	572 U.S. 157 (2014)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	No	No
United States v. Castleman*	572 U.S. 157 (2014)	Policy / Facts about the world / Some reliance	Concurrence (Scalia)	Yes	Yes
United States v. Davis	139 S. Ct. 2319 (2019)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Dissent (Kavanaugh)	No	No
United States v. Denedo	556 U.S. 904 (2009)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Roberts)	No	No
United States v. Hayes	555 U.S. 415 (2009)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Roberts)	No	No
United States v. Hayes*	555 U.S. 415 (2009)	Policy / Facts about the world + Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning

United States v. Ressam*	553 U.S. 272 (2008)	Policy / Absurd results / Primary reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
United States v. Rodriquez	553 U.S. 377 (2008)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
United States v. Rodriquez*	553 U.S. 377 (2008)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Souter)	Yes	No
United States v. Santos	553 U.S. 507 (2008)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Scalia)	No	No
United States v. Santos	553 U.S. 507 (2008)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Concurrence (Stevens)	No	No
United States v. Santos*	553 U.S. 507 (2008)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	No
United States v. Santos*	553 U.S. 507 (2008)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
United States v. Stevens	559 U.S. 460 (2010)	Policy / Undesirable consequences + Fairness / Primary reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	Yes
United States v. Stevens	559 U.S. 460 (2010)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	No
United States v. Taylor*	142 S. Ct. 2015 (2022)	Both policy and Administrability / Absurd results + Resources / primary	Dissent (Thomas)	No	No
United States v. Tinklenberg	563 U.S. 647 (2011)	Administrability / Unworkability / Minimal reliance	Concurrence (Scalia)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
United States v. Tinklenberg	563 U.S. 647 (2011)	Both administrability and policy / Workability + Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
United States v. Tohono O'odham Nation	563 U.S. 307 (2011)	Policy / Beneficial consequences / Minimal reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	Yes
United States v. Tohono O'odham Nation*	563 U.S. 307 (2011)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Concurrence (Sotomayor)	No	No
Univ. of Tex. Sw. Med. Ctr. v. Nassar	570 U.S. 338 (2013)	Both policy and Administrability / Undesirable consequences + Resources / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Univ. of Tex. Sw. Med. Ctr. v. Nassar	570 U.S. 338 (2013)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	Yes
US Airways, Inc. v. McCutchen*	569 U.S. 88 (2013)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	No
Util. Air Regul. Grp. v. EPA	573 U.S. 302 (2014)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Alito)	No	No

Util. Air Regul. Grp. v. EPA	573 U.S. 302 (2014)	Both policy and Administrability / Purpose + Resources / Primary reliance	Majority (Scalia)	No	Yes
Va. Uranium, Inc. v. Warren	139 S. Ct. 1894 (2019)	Both policy and Administrability / Undesirable consequences + Unworkability (difficult) / Some reliance	Majority (Gorsuch)	No	No
Va. Uranium, Inc. v. Warren	139 S. Ct. 1894 (2019)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Roberts)	No	No
Vaden v. Discover Bank	556 U.S. 49 (2009)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Roberts)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Vaden v. Discover Bank	556 U.S. 49 (2009)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Van Buren v. United States	141 S. Ct. 1648 (2021)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Barrett)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Van Buren v. United States	141 S. Ct. 1648 (2021)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Van De Kamp v. Goldstein	555 U.S. 335 (2009)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Vance v. Ball State Univ.	570 U.S. 421 (2013)	Administrability / Unworkability / Primary reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	No
Vance v. Ball State Univ.	570 U.S. 421 (2013)	Policy / Fairness + Facts about the world / Primary reliance	Dissent (Ginsburg)	No	No
Vance v. Ball State Univ.	570 U.S. 421 (2013)	Administrability / Unworkability / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Thomas)	No	No
Vega v. Tekoh	142 S. Ct. 2095 (2022)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	No
Voisine v. United States	579 U.S. 686 (2016)	Policy / Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Kagan)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Voisine v. United States	579 U.S. 686 (2016)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Thomas)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Wachovia Bank v. Schmidt	546 U.S. 303 (2006)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	No
Wall v. Kholi	562 U.S. 545 (2011)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Wallace v. Kato	549 U.S. 384 (2007)	Administrability / Resources / Primary reliance	Dissent (Breyer)	No	No
Watson v. United States	552 U.S. 74 (2007)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Concurrence (Ginsburg)	No	No

Watters v. Wachovia Bank	550 U.S. 1 (2007)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Minimal reliance	Majority (Ginsburg)	No	Yes
Watters v. Wachovia Bank	550 U.S. 1 (2007)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Stevens)	No	No
West Virginia v. EPA	142 S. Ct. 2587 (2022)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	No
West Virginia v. EPA	142 S. Ct. 2587 (2022)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Concurrence (Gorsuch)	Yes	No
West Virginia v. EPA*	142 S. Ct. 2587 (2022)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Dissent (Kagan)	Yes	Yes
WesternGeco LLC v. Ion Geophysical Corp.	138 S. Ct. 2129 (2018)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Dissent (Gorsuch)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Weyerhaeuser Co. v. Ross-Simmons Hardwood Lumber Co.	549 U.S. 312 (2007)	Policy / Facts about the world / Primary reliance	Majority (Thomas)	No	No
Wilson v. Sellers	138 S. Ct. 1188 (2018)	Administrability / Unworkability (difficult) / Minimal reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Wilson v. Sellers	138 S. Ct. 1188 (2018)	Policy / Facts about the world + Fairness / Some reliance	Dissent (Gorsuch)	Yes	No
Winkelman v. Parma City Sch. Dist.	550 U.S. 516 (2007)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Partial concurring / Partial dissenting (Scalia)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Winkelman v. Parma City Sch. Dist.*	550 U.S. 516 (2007)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Winter v. Nat. Res. Def. Council, Inc.	555 U.S. 7 (2008)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Some reliance	Majority (Roberts)	No	No
Wooden v. United States	142 S. Ct. 1063 (2022)	Policy / Fairness / Some reliance	Concurrence (Kavanaugh)	No	No
Woodford v. Ngo	548 U.S. 81 (2006)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Majority (Alito)	No	No
Woodford v. Ngo	548 U.S. 81 (2006)	Administrability / Resources / Some reliance	Dissent (Stevens)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
WOS v. E.M.A.	568 U.S. 627 (2013)	Policy / Beneficial consequences / Minimal reliance	Majority (Kennedy)	Yes	No
WOS v. E.M.A.	568 U.S. 627 (2013)	Both policy and Administrability/ Resources + Undesirable consequences / Primary reliance	Dissent (Roberts)	Yes	No
Wyeth v. Levine	555 U.S. 555 (2009)	Both policy and Administrability / Absurd results + Unworkability / Some reliance	Dissent (Alito)	No	No

Xiulu Ruan v. United States	142 S. Ct. 2370 (2022)	Administrability / Unworkability / Some reliance	Partial concurrence (Alito)	No	Yes
Xiulu Ruan v. United States	142 S. Ct. 2370 (2022)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Minimal reliance	Majority (Breyer)	No	No
Yellen v. Confederated Tribes	141 S. Ct. 2434 (2021)	Policy / Absurd results / Some reliance	Majority (Sotomayor)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Yellen v. Confederated Tribes	141 S. Ct. 2434 (2021)	Policy / Undesirable consequences / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Gorsuch)	No	No
Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo v. Texas	142 S. Ct. 1929 (2022)	Administrability / Unworkability / Minimal reliance	Majority (Gorsuch)	Yes	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo v. Texas	142 S. Ct. 1929 (2022)	Policy / Absurd results / Minimal reliance	Dissent (Roberts)	No	Practical corroborates plain meaning
Zuni Pub. Sch. Dist. No. 89 v. Dep't of Educ.	550 U.S. 81 (2007)	Policy / Purpose / Some reliance	Majority (Breyer)	Yes	No